

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

CHANMONY HUOT, VLADIMIR
SALDAÑA, CHAMPA PANG; LIANNA
KUSHI, THOEUN KONG, DENISSE
COLLAZO, SUE J. KIM, SOADY OUCH,
TOOCH VAN, CARMEN BERMUDEZ,
KEI KAWASHIMA-GINSBERG,
DANIEL K. UK, and FAHMINA ZAMAN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS;
KEVIN J. MURPHY, in his official
capacity as Lowell City Manager; LOWELL
CITY COUNCIL; RITA M. MERCIER,
RODNEY M. ELLIOTT, EDWARD J.
KENNEDY, JR., JOHN J. LEAHY,
WILLIAM SAMARAS, JAMES L.
MILINAZZO, DANIEL P. ROURKE,
COREY A. BELANGER, JAMES D.
LEARY, in their official capacities as
members of the Lowell City Council;
LOWELL SCHOOL COMMITTEE;
STEPHEN J. GENDRON, JACQUELINE
DOHERTY, CONNIE A. MARTIN,
ROBERT J. HOEY, JR., ROBERT JAMES
GIGNAC, ANDRE DESCOTEAUX, in
their official capacities as members of the
Lowell School Committee; LOWELL
ELECTION AND CENSUS
COMMISSION; and BEVERLY ANTHES,
JOSEPH MULLEN, THEL SAR,
THOMAS FR. O'BRIEN, in their official
capacities as members of the Lowell
Election and Census Commission,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-10895-DLC

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

[PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING CASE SCHEDULE

Before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion to Amend Case Schedule. Having considered the motion, and the fact that it is unopposed, the Court determines that the motion is hereby GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the following actions shall be completed by the date indicated.

Event	Deadline
Deadline to substantially complete document production Counsel will make good faith efforts to produce all required documents as soon as they are available and avoid significant document production at or near the substantial completion deadline	March 15, 2019
Completion of fact discovery/depositions other than expert depositions	May 10, 2019
Service of Plaintiffs' expert report(s)	May 14, 2019
Service of Defendants' expert report(s)	June 4, 2019
Any rebuttal reports by	July 2, 2019
Completion of expert discovery	August 2, 2019
Filing of dispositive motions	August 23, 2019
Filing of oppositions and replies to dispositive motions	Twenty-one (21) days for an opposition; seven (7) days for a Reply of up to twelve pages; no sur-reply without leave of Court. There is a presumption that these deadlines will not be extended.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

Donald L. Cabell, U.S.M.J.